

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/038,522	10/23/2001	Kevin J. Archer	12964US03	6002
7	7590 02/26/2004		EXAMINER	
McAndrews,	Held & Malloy, Ltd.		THEISEN, MA	ARY LYNN F
34th Floor			****	
500 West Madison Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Chicago, IL 60661			1732	
			D. TE MAN ED 02/2/200	<u>.</u>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/038,522	. ARCHER ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	The MAN DIO PART CON	Mary Lynn F. Theisen	1732		
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communic r Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet with	n the correspondence address		
THE N - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC sions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication for reply specified above, the maximum statuse to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set	ATION.  37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty ltory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ill, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on			
· —		o)⊠ This action is non-final.			
•		n is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition	on of Claims				
4)⊠ 5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-14</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>2</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.			
Application	on Papers				
9)[] 7	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.			
10) 🔲 🗆	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a	a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to b	y the Examiner.		
	Applicant máy not request that any objecti				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the country of the country		-		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)[		ocuments have been received. Ocuments have been received in Ap I the priority documents have been re I Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage		
Attachment	: :s)				
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT No(s)/Mail Date 3/7/2002,12/16/200	)-948) Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

U.S. Patent and Trademark On PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Ç

Application/Control Number: 10/038,522

Art Unit: 1732

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Surdyk.
- 3. Claim 2 is a product by process claim. The patentability of such claims is determined by the patentability of the product. Although produced by a different process the product of Surdyk (particle board having phosphate and borate fire retardants and binder) appears to be identical that which is claimed. The burden is upon applicant to provide evidence that the prior art product does not possess the characteristics of the claimed product. See In re Thorpe, 227 USPQ 964 (CAFC 1985).

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 1 and 3-14 are allowed.
- 5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art does not suggest a combined phosphate/borate fire retardant treatment to green wood furnish.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mary Lynn F. Theisen whose telephone number is 571-272-1210. The examiner can normally be reached on Thursday and Friday 6:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Colaianni can be reached on 571-272-1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). Mary Lynn Theisen

Mary Lynn F. Theisen Primary Examiner

2/20/2004

Art Unit 1732

mlt